

# **Policy & Market Mechanisms for Microgrids:**

## **Opportunities & Challenges in the West Coast**

**Allie Detrio**

**Senior Advisor, Microgrid Resources Coalition**

**Chief Strategist, Reimagine Power Inc.**

**Campus Energy 2020 – International District Energy Association**

**February 12, 2020**

# Microgrids: The Opportunity to Revolutionize the Power Sector

- ◆ **Achieving true resilience and decarbonization will require us to rethink how we modernize our grid and value distributed energy resources.**
- ◆ **Microgrids necessitate that policymakers rethink the planning, project management and construction process for building a cleaner, safer, resilient, and more technologically advanced grid.**
- ◆ **MRC encourages policymakers to reimagine the roles of the utility, developers, customers, and the regulatory model that governs the power sector and energy markets.**
- ◆ **Be bold and forward thinking – embrace and nurture innovation in clean energy technology and market diversity.**

# West Coast Microgrid Policy

## California

### Legislative

- ◇ **SB 1339 (Stern) – 2018**
  - ◇ Directs the CPUC to create interconnection process and separate tariffs as necessary to facilitate the commercialization of microgrids by Dec 2020.
  - ◇ Passed and signed into law September 2018
- ◇ **SB 774 (Stern) – 2019 – 2020**
  - ◇ Support the development of microgrids for critical facilities and accelerate the growth of microgrids by building on SB 1339
  - ◇ Focus on local government procurement of microgrids and removing regulatory barriers not addressed in 1339
  - ◇ Status: Currently in Assembly U&E, hearing not set

### Regulatory

- ◇ **CPUC Order R.19-09-009 (2019 – 2020)**
  - ◇ Scoping Ruling issued December 2019
  - ◇ Breaks proceeding into 3 tracks: short, medium, long term solutions
  - ◇ Expected to take ~24 months

## Hawaii

### Legislative

- ◇ **Act 200 (HB 2110) – 2018**
  - ◇ Directs the HPUC to develop a Microgrid Services Tariff to accelerate microgrid deployment
  - ◇ Passed and signed into law July 2018
- ◇ **HB 1583 (Lowen, et al) – 2019 – 2020**
  - ◇ Authorizes the Department of Education to evaluate feasibility of microgrids to provide backup power in emergencies
  - ◇ Status: Currently in House; carried over to 2020 legislative session resumed January 15th

### Regulatory

- ◇ **HPUC Docket 2018-0163 – 2019 – 2020**
  - ◇ Order issued instituting the creation of working groups for interconnection and market facilitation
  - ◇ Initial tariff proposals due January 2020
  - ◇ Final tariff proposal report due March 2020
  - ◇ Work ongoing, decision and order expected April 2020

# Commercializing Microgrids

## Opportunities

- ◇ **Microgrid project siting at public, critical and essential service facilities**
  - ◇ Schools, community centers, local govt, grocery stores, gas stations, etc.
- ◇ **Blue sky conditions:**
  - ◇ Provides grid services to the utility
  - ◇ Cost savings and clean energy to customers
- ◇ **Black sky conditions:**
  - ◇ Provide backup power to facilities
  - ◇ Serve as resiliency centers for the community
- ◇ **Microgrid Services Agreements**
  - ◇ Shift CAPEX to OPEX
  - ◇ Asset and risk management
  - ◇ Compensation for public benefits

## Challenges

- ◇ **Regulatory barriers**
  - ◇ PU Code exemptions or changes needed
  - ◇ Flexibility in interpretation of laws
    - ◇ Over the fence, right of way regs
    - ◇ Definition of a Public Utility/Electrical Corp
- ◇ **Interconnection**
  - ◇ Lengthy timelines for development and interconnection
  - ◇ Uncertainty and lack of transparency with interconnection costs
- ◇ **Market Participation**
  - ◇ Allow microgrids to easily access and participate in markets for services
  - ◇ Encourage multiple use applications
  - ◇ Utilities develop tariffs specifically for microgrids

# Addressing microgrid roadblocks

## Technical barriers

- ◇ **Pairing technologies**
  - ◇ Acknowledge generation, storage, and controls as distinguished and different
  - ◇ Utilities should evaluate the aggregate performance of microgrids with multiple resources with respect to interaction with the larger grid
- ◇ **Address sizing issues**
  - ◇ Remove nameplate capacity limits and allow sizing flexibility
  - ◇ Allow projects to be sized to meet customer/community needs during an emergency.
- ◇ **Streamline interconnection process**
  - ◇ Develop standardized process that makes pairing technologies and sizing for resiliency easier in the interconnection process
  - ◇ Establish standard and transparent interconnection costs up front
  - ◇ Establish more concrete timelines within each stage of the process and require IOUs to meet those timelines

## Financial barriers

- ◇ **Departing load charges**
  - ◇ Exempt critical facility and public agency microgrids from PCIA charges
- ◇ **Standby charges**
  - ◇ SBCs are calculated assuming an improbable worse case scenario that does not reflect the practical reality of grid operations and customer behavior in the real world
  - ◇ SBCs should be minimized and develop clear rules for maximum charges
- ◇ **Transmission Access Charges**
  - ◇ Reassess TAC and ensure that associated costs fairly reflect actual use of transmission by microgrids
- ◇ **Interconnection costs**
  - ◇ Conduct a thorough review of all interconnection costs and identify opportunities for reduction or elimination of excessive fees
  - ◇ Special Facilities Agreements, ITCC taxes, cost of ownership, and other costs need to be reexamined

# Longer term solutions

## Market mechanisms

- ◇ **Create a resilience tariff**
  - ◇ Encourage longer duration resources that have the ability to island
  - ◇ Critical facility public benefit payments
- ◇ **Support hybrid microgrids and facilitate public-private partnerships**
  - ◇ Non-utility operated microgrids that use utility wires are 100% feasible. They require reasonable payment for use of utility wires and grid infrastructure
  - ◇ In other states payment is determined through *collaborative* negotiation and partnerships between parties
- ◇ **Create more pathways for microgrids to participate in wholesale, local capacity and ancillary services markets**

## Policy

- ◇ **Revise regulations to enable community-level microgrids**
  - ◇ CA PU Code 218 over-the-fence rule
- ◇ **Establish roles and requirements for microgrid owners and/or operators**
  - ◇ Rules and cost recovery for being single point of interconnection at the grid edge
  - ◇ Establish safety and liability provisions
  - ◇ Commitment to decarbonization in line with state 100% goal timelines
- ◇ **Microgrids prioritized as lower risk alternatives for grid investment**
  - ◇ Explore remote grids as alternatives to investments in new transmission infrastructure for rural communities

# Microgrids: The Opportunity to Revolutionize the Power Sector

- ◇ **Microgrids can provide solutions to many West Coast climate policy goals:**
  - ◇ **Resiliency and mitigating outages, whether planned or unplanned**
  - ◇ **Integrating high penetration of DERs and balancing renewable resource intermittency**
  - ◇ **Building decarbonization and energy efficiency**
  - ◇ **Electric vehicle demand integration**
  - ◇ **Resource Adequacy and capacity constraints**
  - ◇ **Prioritize communities and equity in energy planning**
- ◇ **California and Hawaii should boldly lead the way in facilitating the commercialization of a robust and diverse microgrid market *as is the statutory intent of the states' legislation***
- ◇ **Microgrid market development will have the effect of managing the impacts of PSPS, addressing the critical resiliency needs of communities, and advancing state climate and sustainability policy goals**

# What is the value of Resiliency?

**Q&A – Thank You!**

**Allie Detrio**

**Senior Advisor, Microgrid Resources Coalition**

**Chief Strategist, Reimagine Power Inc.**

**[allie@reimagine-power.com](mailto:allie@reimagine-power.com)**